

#32



**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** New Web Link (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, July 01, 2014 4:06:32 AM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, July 01, 2014 4:15:28 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:08:56  
**IP Address:** 5.68.147.232

PAGE 2: Proposed Organ and Tissue Donation (Scotland) Bill Consultation

**Q1: The overarching purpose of my proposal is to move from the current opt-in system to a soft opt-out system of organ donation. Do you support this move? Please indicate "yes/no/undecided" and explain the reasons for your response.**

Yes,

Please give your reasons for your response:  
It is clear an opt out system could save countless more lives - many people would like to donate their organs, but don't know or don't find the time to register themselves. Those that wish to opt out can easily do so - there are no losers through the implementation of this system.

**Q2: How essential is it to change the law (from an opt-in to a soft opt-out system) in order to achieve the intended benefits (increased transplant rates, reduced waiting lists)? Are there other (non-legislative) measures that could achieve similar outcomes without the need for legislation?**

I think this change in the law would be the most effective way to achieve the intended benefits. I don't know of any non-legislative measures that could achieve the huge increase in donors that this legislation change would provide.

**Q3: I believe the role of the family should be limited to being consulted on whether they are aware of any (unregistered) objection by the deceased rather than asking for their consent. Do you agree? Please indicate "yes/no/undecided" and explain the reasons for your response.**

Yes,

Please give reasons for your response:  
Through the promotion of this change in legislation, people would be fully aware that if they were against donating their organs, they should opt out.

**Q4: Do you think an individual should be able to appoint a proxy to make the final decision regarding transplantation on their behalf? Please indicate "yes/no/undecided" and explain the reasons for your response.**

No,

Please give reasons for your response:  
I think a clear yes or no to organ donation is clear enough without adding an extra level of bureaucracy.

**Q5: My proposal is that only adults should be automatically opted-in to be a donor. Younger persons would have to register to be a donor, by themselves or with parental consent as they currently do. This approach is I believe the best way to safeguard children and young people. Do you agree? Please indicate "yes/no/undecided" and explain the reasons for your response.**

Yes,

Please give reasons for your response:  
Adults can make an informed and rational decision on whether they wish to donate or not.

## Proposed Organ and Tissue Donation (Scotland) Bill

**Q6: Do you agree the age limit for an adult should be set at 16 years old? Please indicate "yes/no/undecided" and explain the reasons for your response.**

Yes,

If you answered no, what would you consider a more appropriate age?

The age of consent is 16, the voting age for the referendum is 16; I believe 16 year olds are mature enough to make this decision for themselves.

**Q7: Do you agree the soft opt-out system should apply to people who have been resident in Scotland for a minimum period of 1 year prior to their death? Please indicate "yes/no/undecided" and explain the reasons for your response.**

Yes

**Q8: If you answered no to the above how long, if any, should this period of residency last before they become subject to the soft opt-out system? Would this residency need to be for a continuous period?**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q9: Do you think 6 months is a long enough period to run a campaign prior to change over?**

Yes

**Q10: What is your assessment of the likely financial implications (if any) of the proposed Bill to you or your organisation? What (if any) other significant financial implications are likely to arise?**

None

**Q11: Is the proposed Bill likely to have any substantial positive or negative implications for equality? If it is likely to have a substantial negative implication, how might this be minimised or avoided?**

None

**Q12: Do you have any other comments on or suggestions relevant to the proposal?**

None

### PAGE 3: Contact Details

**Q13: If you wish your response, or any part of it, to be treated as anonymous or confidential, please check the appropriate box and state the reason for this. If not, then please go to question 14. If I accept the reasons, I will publish it as "anonymous response" or only publish the non-confidential parts of the response. If I do not accept the reasons, I will let you know and give you the option of withdrawing it or submitting it on the normal attributable basis.**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q14: Thank you for completing this survey. For the purposes of the consultation, it would be helpful if you could provide your contact details.**

*Respondent skipped this question*