


<p>#365</p> 	<p>COMPLETE</p> <p>Collector: Web Link 1 (Web Link) Started: Tuesday, October 14, 2014 10:35:37 AM Last Modified: Tuesday, October 14, 2014 10:55:36 AM Time Spent: 00:19:59 IP Address: 77.103.112.127</p>
---	--

PAGE 2: Proposed Organ and Tissue Donation (Scotland) Bill Consultation

<p>Q1: The overarching purpose of my proposal is to move from the current opt-in system to a soft opt-out system of organ donation. Do you support this move? Please indicate "yes/no/undecided" and explain the reasons for your response.</p>	<p>Yes,</p> <p>Please give your reasons for your response: In the event of a death surely the best scenario is to have the ability to help others! People don't think about dying young therefore don't think about donating organs. If someone felt particularly strong they would opt out</p>
<p>Q2: How essential is it to change the law (from an opt-in to a soft opt-out system) in order to achieve the intended benefits (increased transplant rates, reduced waiting lists)? Are there other (non-legislative) measures that could achieve similar outcomes without the need for legislation?</p> <p>I think it's vital. In general people are lacks about donating blood until there family is affected by an illness. Organ donation is similar. I think this is the best legislative measure</p>	
<p>Q3: I believe the role of the family should be limited to being consulted on whether they are aware of any (unregistered) objection by the deceased rather than asking for their consent. Do you agree? Please indicate "yes/no/undecided" and explain the reasons for your response.</p>	<p>Yes,</p> <p>Please give reasons for your response: It's a difficult time the less the family have to be involved the better for transplant co-ordination.</p>
<p>Q4: Do you think an individual should be able to appoint a proxy to the make the final decision regarding transplantation on their behalf? Please indicate "yes/no/undecided" and explain the reasons for your response.</p>	<p>Yes,</p> <p>Please give reasons for your response: If they felt family may object having a proxy would avoid this becoming an issue</p>
<p>Q5: My proposal is that only adults should be automatically opted-in to be a donor. Younger persons would have to register to be a donor, by themselves or with parental consent as they currently do. This approach is I believe the best way to safeguard children and young people. Do you agree? Please indicate "yes/no/undecided" and explain the reasons for your response.</p>	<p>Yes</p>

Q6: Do you agree the age limit for an adult should be set at 16 years old? Please indicate "yes/no/undecided" and explain the reasons for your response.

Yes,

If you answered no, what would you consider a more appropriate age?
The voting age has been brought down to 16 for the referendum therefore I believe young adults should have the choice to opt out at this age as well

Q7: Do you agree the soft opt-out system should apply to people who have been resident in Scotland for a minimum period of 1 year prior to their death? Please indicate "yes/no/undecided" and explain the reasons for your response.

Yes,

Please give reasons for your response:
People have chosen to come and live in this country therefore they should live within our laws. Some religions may be problematic with bit of legislation

Q8: If you answered no to the above how long, if any, should this period of residency last before they become subject to the soft opt-out system? Would this residency need to be for a continuous period?

Respondent skipped this question

Q9: Do you think 6 months is a long enough period to run a campaign prior to change over?

Yes

Q10: What is your assessment of the likely financial implications (if any) of the proposed Bill to you or your organisation? What (if any) other significant financial implications are likely to arise?

The admin cost will be quite significant initially. I think it will make more transplants possible therefore more time in situ and theatre for harvesting organs and recipients. The cost will be huge for the nhs

Q11: Is the proposed Bill likely to have any substantial positive or negative implications for equality? If it is likely to have a substantial negative implication, how might this be minimised or avoided?

I guess the lower socio-economic classes are less likely to opt out due to a lack of education. I don't think this is necessarily negative as this class are likely to have less viable organs

Q12: Do you have any other comments on or suggestions relevant to the proposal?

Respondent skipped this question

PAGE 3: Contact Details

Q13: If you wish your response, or any part of it, to be treated as anonymous and/or confidential, please check the appropriate box. If not, then please go to question 14.

Respondent skipped this question

Q14: Thank you for completing this survey. Please provide your name and contact details below.

Name

Company

Address

Address 2

City/Town

State/Province

ZIP/Postal Code

Country

Email Address

