


#260

**INCOMPLETE****Collector:** Web Link 1 (Web Link)**Started:** Wednesday, September 10, 2014 1:57:40 PM**Last Modified:** Wednesday, September 10, 2014 2:24:23 PM**Time Spent:** 00:26:43**IP Address:** 80.229.34.10

PAGE 2: Proposed Organ and Tissue Donation (Scotland) Bill Consultation

Q1: The overarching purpose of my proposal is to move from the current opt-in system to a soft opt-out system of organ donation. Do you support this move? Please indicate "yes/no/undecided" and explain the reasons for your response.

Yes

Q2: How essential is it to change the law (from an opt-in to a soft opt-out system) in order to achieve the intended benefits (increased transplant rates, reduced waiting lists)? Are there other (non-legislative) measures that could achieve similar outcomes without the need for legislation?

I think that changing the law will make it much more likely that people will consider whether to donate organs. At present it is not something that most have thought about or discussed with their nearest and dearest.

Q3: I believe the role of the family should be limited to being consulted on whether they are aware of any (unregistered) objection by the deceased rather than asking for their consent. Do you agree? Please indicate "yes/no/undecided" and explain the reasons for your response.

Undecided,

Please give reasons for your response:
How you phrase these questions can be really important in getting informed consent. If you start the conversation from the viewpoint that the deceased would have wanted others to benefit from their death then you could well get a better response. People in the shock of bereavement could find it difficult to answer any consent question as they have only just been told that there is no further hope for their relative.

Q4: Do you think an individual should be able to appoint a proxy to make the final decision regarding transplantation on their behalf? Please indicate "yes/no/undecided" and explain the reasons for your response.

Yes,

Please give reasons for your response:
If the person has discussed the matter with someone and recorded their decision then it makes it clear what their intentions are.

Q5: My proposal is that only adults should be automatically opted-in to be a donor. Younger persons would have to register to be a donor, by themselves or with parental consent as they currently do. This approach is I believe the best way to safeguard children and young people. Do you agree? Please indicate "yes/no/undecided" and explain the reasons for your response.

Yes,

Please give reasons for your response:
children should not be included or pressurised to donate. As it becomes normal to donate they will be more able to see the advantages and make decisions for themselves.

Q6: Do you agree the age limit for an adult should be set at 16 years old? Please indicate “yes/no/undecided” and explain the reasons for your response.

Yes,

If you answered no, what would you consider a more appropriate age?
Many other decisions are delegated to children at this age.

Q7: Do you agree the soft opt-out system should apply to people who have been resident in Scotland for a minimum period of 1 year prior to their death? Please indicate “yes/no/undecided” and explain the reasons for your response.

Yes,

Please give reasons for your response:
It would not be right to impose this on people who die here on vacation or short contract work, when they may be unaware of the different system here.

Q8: If you answered no to the above how long, if any, should this period of residency last before they become subject to the soft opt-out system? Would this residency need to be for a continuous period?

Respondent skipped this question

Q9: Do you think 6 months is a long enough period to run a campaign prior to change over?

There will always be some people you don't reach.

Q10: What is your assessment of the likely financial implications (if any) of the proposed Bill to you or your organisation? What (if any) other significant financial implications are likely to arise?

None

Q11: Is the proposed Bill likely to have any substantial positive or negative implications for equality? If it is likely to have a substantial negative implication, how might this be minimised or avoided?

None

Q12: Do you have any other comments on or suggestions relevant to the proposal?

None

PAGE 3: Contact Details

Q13: If you wish your response, or any part of it, to be treated as anonymous and/or confidential, please check the appropriate box. If not, then please go to question 14.

Respondent skipped this question

Q14: Thank you for completing this survey. Please provide your name and contact details below.

Respondent skipped this question