

#215

**COMPLETE**

Collector: Web Link 3 (Web Link)

Started: Saturday, September 06, 2014 8:58:47 AM

Last Modified: Saturday, September 06, 2014 9:14:04 AM

Time Spent: 00:15:17

IP Address: 86.0.201.218

## PAGE 2: Proposed Organ and Tissue Donation (Scotland) Bill Consultation

**Q1: The overarching purpose of my proposal is to move from the current opt-in system to a soft opt-out system of organ donation. Do you support this move? Please indicate "yes/no/undecided" and explain the reasons for your response.**

Yes,

Please give your reasons for your response: i think that there are a lot of people myself included to would be happy for their organs to be used who have not registered or have lost their card. this would also make it easier for the family after the death of a loved one.

**Q2: How essential is it to change the law (from an opt-in to a soft opt-out system) in order to achieve the intended benefits (increased transplant rates, reduced waiting lists)? Are there other (non-legislative) measures that could achieve similar outcomes without the need for legislation?**

i think that it is necessary to change the system. perhaps a large campaign could get many to sign up but this would have to be repeated regularly to catch those people now eligible. i think that if someone really objected they would be more inclined to register their opposition more than to register to support the use of organs

**Q3: I believe the role of the family should be limited to being consulted on whether they are aware of any (unregistered) objection by the deceased rather than asking for their consent. Do you agree? Please indicate "yes/no/undecided" and explain the reasons for your response.**

Yes,

Please give reasons for your response: families have enough to deal with at the time of death of a loved one and they may not be aware of the deceased wishes

**Q4: Do you think an individual should be able to appoint a proxy to make the final decision regarding transplantation on their behalf? Please indicate "yes/no/undecided" and explain the reasons for your response.**

No,

Please give reasons for your response: i do not think that is necessary.

**Q5: My proposal is that only adults should be automatically opted-in to be a donor. Younger persons would have to register to be a donor, by themselves or with parental consent as they currently do. This approach is I believe the best way to safeguard children and young people. Do you agree? Please indicate "yes/no/undecided" and explain the reasons for your response.**

Yes,

Please give reasons for your response: yes i agree fully that is why i mentioned that any advertising campaign would have to be repeated regularly to catch those people now of age.

**Q6: Do you agree the age limit for an adult should be set at 16 years old? Please indicate "yes/no/undecided" and explain the reasons for your response.**

Yes,

If you answered no, what would you consider a more appropriate age?  
in this case i think 16 would be okay

**Q7: Do you agree the soft opt-out system should apply to people who have been resident in Scotland for a minimum period of 1 year prior to their death? Please indicate "yes/no/undecided" and explain the reasons for your response.**

Yes,

Please give reasons for your response:  
i think this would be right as to apply it to anyone who died in britain could result in visitors to this country being affected without them even being aware of this country's stance on this matter

**Q8: If you answered no to the above how long, if any, should this period of residency last before they become subject to the soft opt-out system? Would this residency need to be for a continuous period?**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q9: Do you think 6 months is a long enough period to run a campaign prior to change over?**

yeas as long as it was a widespread campaign

**Q10: What is your assessment of the likely financial implications (if any) of the proposed Bill to you or your organisation? What (if any) other significant financial implications are likely to arise?**

i do not think there will be any financial implications other than cutting the nhs budget if more people get an organ transplant

**Q11: Is the proposed Bill likely to have any substantial positive or negative implications for equality? If it is likely to have a substantial negative implication, how might this be minimised or avoided?**

i do not think that equality comes into this

**Q12: Do you have any other comments on or suggestions relevant to the proposal?**

no thanks

### PAGE 3: Contact Details

**Q13: If you wish your response, or any part of it, to be treated as anonymous and/or confidential, please check the appropriate box. If not, then please go to question 14.**

*Respondent skipped this question*

**Q14: Thank you for completing this survey. Please provide your name and contact details below.**

Name

Company

Address

Address 2

City/Town

State/Province

ZIP/Postal Code

Country

Email Address

